17-FEB-2006 17:16 FROM Kennedys .... COFERATION I REATY

# PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference rts.3158.pct.ac.i	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCTAPEA418			
International application No. PCT/GB2004/003595	International filing date (day/month/yea 20.08.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.08,2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC F16F9/63					
Applicant REACTEC LTD. et al.					
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
•	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. 🗵 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 6 sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 807 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filled, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
🖾 Box No. I Basis of the opin	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Invention					
☐ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 38(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain docume					
	In the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comp	pletion of this report			
18.03.2005	27.12.200	5			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary exemining authority;		officer			
European Patent Office - P.B. NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Paya B. Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Beaumont	, A o. +91 70 340-3603			

17-FEB-2006 17:17 FROM Kennedys

IAP12 Rec'd PCT/FTO 2 1 1 2 3 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
International application No.
PCT/GB2004/003595

_	-		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
1.	With regard filed, unless	d to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.	va
	which	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
	☐ pub	ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	riave Deen	d to the elements" of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets whi fumished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):	cl
	Description	, Pages	
	1-37	as originally filed	
	Claims, Nur	прев	
	1-25	received on 20.05.2005 with letter of 13.05.2005	
	Drawings, S	ihests	
	1/7-7/7	as originally filed	
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	□ the □	description, pages claims, Nos.	
	☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ the ☐ any	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
١.	nad not bee	sport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below en made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the stall Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
	☐ the	description, pages	
	☐ the	claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/ligs	
	☐ the	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
		em 4 applies some or all of these shocks are the second applies.	

Form PCT/PEA/409 (January 2004)

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003595

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims Claims 1-25

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-25

1-25

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003595

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 0090, no. 42 (M-359), 22 February 1985 (1985-02-22) & JP 59 183138 A (NIHON HATSUJIYOU KK), 18 October 1984 (1984-10-18)
  - D2: US-B1-6 427 813 (CARLSON J DAVID) 6 August 2002 (2002-08-06)
  - D3: US-A1-6 158 470 (IVERS ET AL.) 12 December 2000 (2000-12-12)
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A variable damper comprising;

an outer member (1) including a magnetically conductive sleeve;

an inner member (2) comprising a shaft;

an electromagnet (4) supported between the members;

wherein a chamber (5,6,7) between the outer and inner members is at least partially filled with magnetorheological fluid, such that when a magnetic field is applied to the chamber, the effective viscosity of the fluid increases such that relative motion of the inner and outer members is opposed;

the electromagnet is supported in the chamber such that it provides a fluid flow path (7) between the inner member and the electromagnet,

the region between the electromagnet and the sleeve defining a control region in which the magnetic field is concentrated.

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known variable damper in that a second fluid flow path is provided between the inner member and the

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003595

electromagnet.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as improving the performance of the variable damper, especially for low amplitude vibrations.

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

Document D3 proposes an additional passage in the piston of a magnetorheological damper in order to reduce harshness of the damper (see column 7, lines 1 to 6); this harshness is due to the fact that only a certain frequency range is properly controlled in the case of only one passage.

The skilled person would then combine documents D1 and D3, and thereby arriving at a variable damper according to claim 1.

- The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 12, which therefore is also considered not inventive.
- 4. Dependent claims do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D1 to D3 and the corresponding passages of the Written Opinion of the International Search Authority from 15 April 2005.